

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: C.S.E	Academic Year: 2018 - 19
Program Name: B. tech	Year/Semester: I/T
Name of the Student: P. Thanvi	Roll Number: 188AIAOI2O

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
I	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	Excellent	Good		e, age	1 001
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.					
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.		V	J		
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.					
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.		<u> </u>			
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.			~		
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.					
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.			V		
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.					
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?		<u> </u>	✓		

Any other suggestions:	

Date: 12/10/2018

PRINCIPAL
RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKAS
GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS
VALLUR - ONGOLE.

P. Jhansi Signature of Student



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: CIVIL ENGINEERING	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Program Name: UG - B.TECH	Year/Semester: II - I
Name of the Student: GADDAM SRIVALLI	Roll Number: 178A1A0104

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
-!	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	/				*
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	V	==: :			
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.		V-			
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	V				
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.		V			
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.		/			
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.	-				
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	/				
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.		V			
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					

Any other suggestions: To im Prove Practical knowledge like coorkship Isom go year onwards.

Date: 6-10-2018

Signature of Student

USE KRISHNA SAL PRAKASAN GROUP OF INSTRUCTIONS GROUP OF INSTRUCTIONS



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Civil engineering	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Program Name: V61 - Btech	Year/Semester: III - I
Name of the Student: D. Sai Jayathri	Roll Number: 168 A1A 0102

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.			~	• •	
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	V				
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.		V			
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.					
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	V		4		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.			~		
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.		V	5		
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	V				
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.			V		
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?		V			

Any other suggestions:	imprive the	Labisay	books	TI	hows	
like some p						2,

Date: 6-10-2018

PRINCIPAL PRAKASAM SAI PRAKASAM

D. Saigayathri Signature of Student



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Civil Engineering	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Program Name: UGI - BTECH	Year/Semester: IV - I
Name of the Student: E. Joshna	Roll Number: 158 A1 A 0103

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		~~		*	
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.			~		
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	V				
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.		~			
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.		~			
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.			~		
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning of self-learning.					8) ¥3
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	V				
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.			~		
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?		~			

Any other suggestions: Live projects Implement in II nd year on words

Date: 6-10-2018

PRINCIPAL PRAKASAM SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ONGOLE.

E. Joshna Signature of Student



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name:	2	Academic Year:	2018/19
Program Name: B-7e	ch	Year/Semester:	ILI
Name of the Student: A. S	sumanth	Roll Number:	178A1 A0229

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question		Very	Good	Average	Poor
5.140		Excellent	Good		-	
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	=	x <			
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.					
-3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.			V		
- 4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	V				
5_	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.		/			
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.					
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.			•	**	
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	V				
. 9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	V				
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?	V				

Any other suggestions:	- Table 1	 		
	5-	,	1	

Date: 6/10/18

A. Stomarth Signature of Student

RISE KRISHNA SALPRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUE - ONGOLE.



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: CCC	Academic Year: 2018-19					
Program Name: 13- Tech	Year/Semester: $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}$ - $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$					
Name of the Student: E. Sai kumar	Roll Number: 168A1A0229					

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

			Very			
S.N	Question	Excellent	Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		V	-		
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	·/-				
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	√ -				
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	V				
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.					
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	V	€			
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.	· \		•		
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.					
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	V				
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					

Any other suggestions:	More	Practice	required	CM	MATLAR	_
			mar, te	1	×	

Date: 08/10/2013

PRINCIPAL CARE

E. Sai kumar Signature of Student

RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ONGOLE.



RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE (Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: EEE	Academic Year: 2018 - 2019
Program Name: B-Tech	Year/Semester: IV - I
Name of the Student: M. Veny gopal	Roll Number: 158A1A0227

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

o d	S.No	Question	Excellent	Very- Good	Good	Average	Poor
	1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		3			_
	2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	V				199.0
	3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	V				
	4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.				-	
	5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	V				
	6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.			V		
	7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning of self-learning.	· ·				(F)
)	8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		V			
	9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.		V			-
	10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?			V		

Any other suggestions:	Production	related	topico	required	
Any other suggestions:	THOUSTRY	related	10110	repaired,	

Date: 06/10/2018

000

M. Venu gopal Signature of Student

RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ONGOLE.



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Mechanical	Engineering	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Program Name: B. Tech		Year/Semester: 1 1
Name of the Student: G. Sandon	to know d	Roll Number: 178 A1 A0 319

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.					
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.					
- 3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.					
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	-				
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.					
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.					
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.					
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		~			45
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.					
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					

Any other suggestions:	Request	to	<i>Emotors</i>	Some	communication	SCHLA
			15.1-2			-10170

Date:

RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAYASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ONGOLF Signature of Student



RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE (Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to INTUK KAKINADA)

(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Program Name: B. Tedi.	Year/Semester: -11 - 1
Name of the Student: M. Sohail Ali	Roll Number: 168 A1A0328

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1 -	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		√			
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.			<u></u>		
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	/				
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.			~		
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.		/	~		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.			/.		
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.			\/		
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.					
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	/	~			
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?	/				

Any other suggestions:	To	Conduct	More	Workshop	es for-
- Stu	Pratical	Knowle	Λ .	movement	

Date: 06/10/2018

M. Shail At Signature of Student

RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ONGOLF



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name:	MECHANICAL	ENGINEERING	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Program Name:	B. Tech		Year/Semester: 1V-I
Name of the Student:	ISSA' G. Saile	esh Rabu	Roll Number: 158 ALAO 314.

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		/			
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.			~		
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	~				
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.		1			
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.		\			
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.			V		-
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.					
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		✓			-
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.		~			
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?		/			<u>222</u> 524

Any other suggestions:	Better to	hause	more	Technocal	Trainings
Cources					
		0			

Date:

PRINCIPAL
RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUT - 45
VALLUR - ONGOLE



RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE (Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name:	ECE	#		Academic Year:	2018-19
Plogram Name:	U	G(B. Tech)	¥.	Year/Semester:	I/I
Name of the Student:	GODA	SAI PRIYA		Roll Number:	178A1A047)

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of .programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	/	, q			
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	130		(2)		
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.		-/	a0 51 51		
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.					
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	- /-	*	X		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.		/			
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.		-111			
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		/			
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.			1		
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipliné elective, open elective, project, etc.?	/				

		1		
Any other suggestions: _			- 1 A	: I I :
Any other suggestions	LUC (KUG	concepted	onented	subjects.
				.)

Date: 10 06 2018

RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ONGOLE.

Cy- San priya Signature of Student



RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE (Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: 505	Academic Year: 2018 - 2019
Program Name: B. Tech	Year/Semester: 3 - I
Name of the Student: Gunturi Hareesh	Roll Number: 168AtA0443

P lease rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	~	no to a			*
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.			~	1	
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.		~			
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	V				E.
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	(44	$\sqrt{}$	•		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	ε.				
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.					
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		$\sqrt{}$			
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	V				
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipliné elective, open elective, project, etc.?	,	√ g _a			

Any other suggestions:	_	· ·
		,

Date: 10 16 2018

Or. Harresh. Signature of Student

PRINCIPAL RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ONGOLE.



RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS:: ONGOLE (Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P.

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: ECE	301	Academic Year: 2018-19
Program Name: B. Tech		Year/Semester: IV - I
Name of the Student: Addamki Gopi	Krishna.	Roll Number: 158A1A0437

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	V.	2			
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.		V			
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.		• .			
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	V				
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	77				
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	,	V			
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.		/			
- 8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		: <i>P</i> .			
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.					
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipliné elective, open elective, project, etc.?			e		ě

Any other suggestions:	 5	

Date: 10-6-2018

A Gopf kull Signature of Student

PRINCIPAL
PRINCIPAL
RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM
GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS
VALLUR - ONGOLE.



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P.

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Computer & cience & Engi	need Academic Year: 2018-19
Program Name: OGCB. Tech)	Year/Semester: R - 2
Name of the Student: DASARI . AKHILA	Roll Number: 178A(A0510

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	1.				
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.		/			
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.			-	/	
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	/				-
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.			/		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.					
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.					
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.					/
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.		/			
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?	V				

_	Any other suggestions:	Cuggest	ed to	întroduce	Machine	learning
		phyton				
		J		0		Q. Aktila
	Date: 6/10/2018			\sim		Signature of Student

PRINCIPAL RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ENGOLE



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Computer Science & Engineering	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Program Name: UG(B.Tech)	Year/Semester: 3/1
Name of the Student: Vuyyuru Saiprem	Roll Number: 168AlA05B7

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Į.	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.					
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.		/			
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.			×		/
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.					
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.			/		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.				-	
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.	/				-
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.					
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.				h	
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					_

Any other suggestions: Suggested Advanced Python Programming

Date: 6/10/18

Vugguru Saiprer Signature of Student

GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS
VALLUR - ONGOLE



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P.

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Computer Sence & Gre	Academic Year: 2018 - 19
Program Name: UG (B.Tech)	Year/Semester: 4/I
Na me of the Student: Kovusi Rokesh	Roll Number: 158AlA0554

programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Ĭ	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.					
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.					3.0 3 3 1/2
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.		11.3			
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.					
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.		1	7		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	_ ~				V
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.			_		
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	/		•		
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.		-		- /	
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?	1	/			

Any other suggestions:	Introduce	Data Science	Concepts

Date: 6/10/2018

PRINCIPAL RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ONGOLE



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: MCA	Academic Year: 20/8 - 19
Programme Name: PG1	Year/Semester: II /I
Na me of The Student: O. SNUHi	Roll Number: 18A16006

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	~				
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.		V	מוכרובות		W4
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global seenarios.	/				(#E F)
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.					
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	-				
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.					
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.					
- 8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.			-	-	
-9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB -components.					
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?	g.				-

Any other Suggestions:	Moxe lab	experiments	8
	1000		

Date: ()3 | 19

D-SSUH:
Signature of Student

PRINCIPAL
RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM
GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

VALLUR - ONGOLE.



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Civil	Academic Year: 2018 -2019
Program Name: B. tcch	Year/Semesfer: 1/II
Name of the Student: A. Rakesh	Roll Number: 188A1A0120

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very	Good	Average	Poor
- 1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	Excellent	Good			1 001
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	V				
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.		V			
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.					
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses			V		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	V				
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.					
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.			V		
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.		V			
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?		V			

Any other suggestions:		_
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	9: 1:0	
	24	(8)

Date: 1/3/2019

PRINCIPAL

RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM

GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS

VALLUR - ONGOLE.

A POYEST Signature of Student



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: CIVIL ENGINEERING	Academic Year: 2018 - 2019
Program Name: UGI - B TECH	Year/Semester: II - II
Name of the Student: 5K. Shabbeer Basha	Roll Number: 178 A1A0154

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	V	***			
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	V				
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	· V				
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.		V			
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.			V		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.		V	•X		
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.			-		
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.			V		æ8. ■
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.		V			
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?			~		

Any other suggestions:	Prive the	many-	PROJECTS	in outside	e like
Plans, Projects.		J			

Date: 23-03-2019.

RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ONGOLE. Sk. Shabbeen Basha Signature of Student



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Civil Engineering	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Program Name: UG-Bitech	Year/Semester: [11] - [1]
Name of the Student: P. Hogi babu -	Roll Number: 178A5A0106

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.					
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	12	V		-	
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.		V		_	
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	~				
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.		V			
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.		~			
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.			3.00	-	
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	V				
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.					
1:0	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					

Any other suggestions: 50 flware coarse should want to teach in charsonly

Date: 83-03-2019

PRINCIPAL
RISE KEISHNA SAI PRAKASAM
GROUP OF INSTRUCTIONS
VALLUR - ONGOLE.

P. Hani babu Signature of Student



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Civil Engineering	Academic Year: 2018 - 2019
Program Name: VG7- B. Tech	Year/Semester: IV - II
Name of the Student: Ragisi Raju	Roll Number: 158A1A0140

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	V				
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.		/			
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	=	/	15		
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.					
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	/				
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.		2			
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning of self-learning.			- 3		
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.					
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.			F)		
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?				-	

Any other suggestions: Corduct oseful workshops to the Students.

Date: 23-03-2019

PRINCIPAL PRINCIPAL PRINCIPAL SAIP RAKASAM SAIP RAKASAM SAIP RAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS GROUP OF INSTITUTIO

R. Rally Signature of Student



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)
NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: EEE	Academic Year: 2018-19.
Program Name: B.t.ch	Year/Semester: 2-2
Name of the Student: T. Bhavani kishore	Roll Number: 178A1 A0244

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.					
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.					
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.					
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	V				
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.			/		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.					
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning of self-learning.	r	~			
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	_	C			
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.		~	9	1	
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					

Any other suggestions: _	Practice	required	00	clectoical	machines	طعا	
, ,							

Date: - 23 | 03 | 2019

pol

7 - Chavan Kishore
Signature of Student

RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ONGOLE.



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: EEE	Academic Year: 2018 - 19.
Program Name: B. TECH	Year/Semester: III G II
Name of the Student: T. AVINASH	Roll Number: 168A1A0238

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.					
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	V 1	-	-		
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	V	-			11.7
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.					
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.					
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.		\			
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning of self-learning:	· /				
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		V			
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	\ <u>\</u>		1		
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					

Any other suggestions:	MATLAB	required	 - 14 To 1	
	10 100100		 	
920			 	

Date: 23 | 03 | 2019

T. Avinor

RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUE - ONGOLE.



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: EEE	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Program Name: Bitch	Year/Semester: 4-2
Name of the Student: p. Mahegwari	Roll Number: 168A5A0211

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
. 1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		11		,	• • •
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.		/			
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	/		~		
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.					
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.		~			
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.					
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning of self-learning.	· /		8		
- 8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		/			
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	/	7			
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipling core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					

Any other suggestions:	More	practice	needed	9	MATLAD	
,						

Date: -23 3 2099

SOUNCIPAL TASAM

Signature of Student

RISE KRISHNA SAL PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ONGOLE.



RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE (Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA)

NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Merhanical Engineering	Academic Year: 2018-19
Program Name: B. Tech	Year/Semester: 19 11
Name of the Student: K. Siva	Roll Number: 188 45 40 303

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	/				
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.		/		(#)	
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.					
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.		./			
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	V				
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.			\checkmark		
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.					
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.			•		
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.					
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					

Any other suggestions: provide Communication skills classes

Luspreve Communication skills.

23,03/2019 Date:

> KISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ONGOLF.



RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE (Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Mechanical Engineering.	Academic Year: 2018 - 2019
	Year/Semester: 3-2/11/11
Name of the Student: K. Var Tau Nav Carrar Rolly.	Roll Number: 178A5A0362

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		-	V		
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.			V		
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.			/		
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.			1		
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.		/	V		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	_	_V	1/	3	
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.		·/			
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	-	· ·	/		
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.		=		-	
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?	-				

Any other suggestions: Conduct the WOOK SHOPS in semester endings.

Date: 23/03/2019

RISE KRISI NA SAI PRAKASAM GROWN INSTITUTE INS K.VN Signature of Student



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Huhanical C	ing meeting	Academic Year: 9018 - 2019
Program Name: 3- Tech	0 0	Year/Semester: W-D
Name of the Student: E. Ashol	kumar	Roll Number: 1584140313

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		/			
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.			/	1	
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	/				
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	/				-
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.		~	-		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	~				
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.		√			
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	/				
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.		~			
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?	✓				

Any other suggestions:	We	wanf	extra Aptitude classes
-	4		

Date: 23/03/2019

Signature of Student

RISE ERISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTE ANS VALLUR - CINGGLE ANS



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name:	ECE	Academic Year: 2018 - 2019
Program Name:	B. Tech	Year/Semester: II/II
Name of the Student:	Mannepalli, Madhavi	Roll Number: 178 A1 A0 426

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	<i>\lambda</i> .		50		
.2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.		/			
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.				٠,	
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.		/.			
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	1	- 15	£	4)	
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.					-
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.					
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		. ~			76
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	~	ù.			
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipliné elective, open elective, project, etc.?	/			-	

Any other suggestions:	 N,	

Date: 23/3/2019

Signature of Student

FRINCIPAL
USE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM
GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS
VALLUR - ONGOLE.



RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS::ONGOLE (Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: ECE	Academic Year: 2018-19
Program Name: UG (B. Tech)	Year/Semester: 3 1
Mame of the Student: Monapati Manesh	Roll Number: 168A1A04B0

P lease rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.				E .	
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.		- A	1		
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	~	*			
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.				-	
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	*: .				•
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	2		V	52.	
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.	~				
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	· ·		~		
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	S (X)				
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipliné elective, open elective, project, etc.?	/		~		19

Any other suggestions: Toclude industra	Lists in the	Cuagiculum
---	--------------	------------

Date: 23/03/2019

RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS VALLUR - ONGOLE.

Make Signature of Student



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM.

Department Name: ECE	Academic Year: 2018-19
Program Name: UG (B. Tech)	Year/Semester: IV - II
Name of the Student: Vangala Bownya Reddy	Roll Number: 158AIAO 484

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S. No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	V				
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	✓	+	1.	R _e	
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.		V			7
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	3107		1		
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.	1 4 1	v ^a ,			
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	3	1		-	
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.					
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		*: * 1			
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	~				
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipliné elective, open elective, project, etc.?		/			

Any other suggestions:		*	
	-	1	

Date: 93 3 2019

PRINCIPAL
RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM
GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS
VALLUR - ONGOLE.

V. Sowmya.
Signature of Student



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: COMPUTED SCIENCE ENGINEER	Sing Academic Year: 2018-19
LLOBIAM Mame: NO (B46CP)	Year/Semester: III
Name of the Student: Dasovi Jashuya	Roll Number: 178A1A05A5

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		✓ /			
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.			V		
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.					
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.					
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.					
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.			~		
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.				1/	
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.					V
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	V				
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?		~			

Any other suggestions: SUGGESTE d to introduce testing tools concepts

Date: 83/03/2019

D. Ja Shuva Signature of Student

PRINCIPAL
RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM
GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS
VALLUR - ONGOLE



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Computer Science Engr	neering Academic Year: 2018 - 2019
Program Name: UG (13. Tech)	Year/Semester: 3/2
Name of the Student: H. Pranath?	Roll Number: 168A1A0520

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	V	ovou			
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.		✓ /			
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.					
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.				-	
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.		/	<i>y</i>		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	/		_		
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.					-/
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.					V
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.					
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?			-	/	

Any other suggestions: Meed of Introduce Machine Learning subject

Date: 23/3/2019

28

K. Pranatli Signature of Student

PRINCIPAL
RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM
GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS
VALLUR - ONGOLE



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: Computer Science engineering	Academic Year: 2018-2019
Program Name: UG(B.Tech)	Year/Semester: 49
Name of the Student: Puvvadi Anitha	Roll Number: [58A1A0586

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
Ī	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		. 1			
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.					./
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.					
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.		~			
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.					
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.					
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.					
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.					
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	+				
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					

Any other suggestions: Need of	Data Science	Concepts	with	python
programming		<u>'</u>	,	1 0

Date: 23/3/2019

P. Anitha. Signature of Student

PRINCIPAL
RISE KRISHNA SAL PRAKASAM
GROUP OF INSTITUTY
VALLUR - ONGOLE



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

ANALYSIS OF STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name:	MCA	Academic Year: 2018 - 19
Programme Name:	PGI	Year/Semester: [] / []
Name of The Student:	k. mahedra	Roll Number: -18 A1 F0030

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.		<u> </u>			
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	er-o		/		
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.	# 3	<u></u>		• -	
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.					rg.
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.			/		1
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	V		-		Ť
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.		24	~		
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.	,	/			
9_	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	T &	· _		V	
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?	-				

Any other Suggestions:	Advanced	Cource	, Lab	Experiments	
imporemen				/ · ·	
		-			

Date: 23/3/19

k. mahendra Signature of Student

PRINCIPAL
RISE KRISHNA SAI PRAKASAM
GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS
VALLUR - ONGOLE.



(Approved by AICTE-NEW DELHI, Affiliated to JNTUK KAKINADA) NH-16, Valluru-523272, Ongole, Prakasam (District), A.P

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL (IQAC)

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department Name: M.13. A	Academic Year: 2018 - 19
Program Name: P.G	Year/Semester: II / III
Name of the Student: K. Gowthami	Roll Number: 178A1E0012

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for the review of the syllabus / to improve the quality of programme.

S.No	Question	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.	V				
2	Rate the appropriate sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.	V				
3	Rate the depth of the syllabus of courses concerning the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.		/	T		1
4	Rate the sequence of the units/modules in courses.	V				
5	Rate the adequateness of the prescribed text books and reference books mentioned for the courses.			/		
6	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of the burden on students.	V				
7	Rate the design of courses in terms of extra learning of self-learning.					
8	Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective courses concerning technology advancements.		V		=	i ,
9	Rate the percentage of courses offering LAB components.	9	/			
10	Rate the composition of courses in terms of Basic Sciences, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project, etc.?					

Any other suggestions:	Time	frame work	of	the	Syllabus	Leving	the
Semester					0	0	

Date: 2-11-2019

K. Gowlfami Signature of Student

PRINCIPAL
RISE Krishna Sai Prakasam Group
of Institutions, Valluru - 523 272